

GAUSSIAN BINOMIALS AND THE NUMBER OF SUBLATTICES

YI MING ZOU

ABSTRACT. The purpose of this short communication is to make some observations on the connections between various existing formulas of counting the number of sublattices of a fixed index in an n -dimensional lattice and their connection with the Gaussian binomials.

1. EXISTING FORMULAS

There are various ways of determining the number of sublattices of a fixed index in a lattice, they can be found in Cassels (1971), Baake (1997), and Gruber (1997). To determine the number $f_n(m)$ (notation as in Baake (1997)) of sublattices of index m in an n -dimensional lattice is the same as to determine the number of subgroups of index m in a free abelian group of rank n . A detailed discussion of this problem was included in Baake (1997), where a formula to compute $f_n(m)$:

$$(1.1) \quad f_n(m) = \sum_{d_1 d_2 \cdots d_n = m} d_1^0 d_2^1 \cdots d_n^{n-1},$$

and a formula to express the generating function $F_n(s)$ of $f_n(m)$ as a Dirichlet series ($\zeta(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-s}$ is the Riemann zeta function):

$$(1.2) \quad F_n(s) = \zeta(s)\zeta(s-1)\cdots\zeta(s-n+1),$$

are provided. These formulas imply the following recursion relation:

$$f_n(m) = \sum_{d|m} d f_{n-1}(d).$$

One can also use the results on pp.11-13 from Cassels (1971) to show that $f_n(m)$ is equal to the number of $n \times n$ matrices (r_{ij}) with integer entries satisfying the conditions (lower triangular matrices):

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} r_{ij} &= 0, & 1 \leq i < j \leq n; \\ r_{ii} &> r_{ij} \geq 0, & 1 \leq j < i \leq n; \\ r_{11} r_{22} \cdots r_{nn} &= m. \end{aligned}$$

Gruber (1997) proved that if $m = p_1^{r_1} \cdots p_k^{r_k}$ is the prime factorization of m , then $f_n(m)$ can also be computed by the following formula:

$$(1.4) \quad f_n(m) = \prod_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^{r_i} \frac{p_i^{n+j-1} - 1}{p_i^j - 1} = \prod_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{p_i^{r_i+j} - 1}{p_i^j - 1}.$$

Although all these methods were mentioned in Gruber (1997), the connections among these existing methods have not been adequately explained. In the next section, we will make some observations on the connections among these methods as well as the connection with Gaussian binomials.

2. OBSERVATIONS

First, we observe that formula (1.1) can be derived from Cassels' result by noting that for an integer matrix satisfying condition (1.3), there are r_{ii} choices for each of the elements below r_{ii} at the i -th column, and therefore the number of these matrices for each decomposition $m = r_{11}r_{22} \cdots r_{nn}$ is $r_{11}^{n-1}r_{22}^{n-2} \cdots r_{nn}^0$. Summing over all decompositions, one gets (1.1).

Then we observe that formula (1.4) can be derived from formula (1.2) by using the Gaussian binomials. Recall (Jantzen (1995) Ch. 0 or the online Wikipedia) that for integers $m, k \geq 0$, the Gaussian binomials (q -binomial coefficients) are defined by

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{[m]_q!}{[m-k]_q![k]_q!},$$

where

$$(2.2) \quad [m]_q = \frac{1 - q^m}{1 - q}, \quad [m]_q! = [1]_q[2]_q \cdots [m]_q.$$

These binomials satisfy

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \begin{bmatrix} m \\ m-k \end{bmatrix}_q.$$

By using Gaussian binomials, one has the following formula

$$(2.4) \quad \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{1 - q^k t} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \begin{bmatrix} n+k-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q t^k.$$

Now since

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_n(s) &= \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \zeta(s-i) = \prod_p \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{1-p^{-s+k}} \\
 &= \prod_p \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[\begin{matrix} n+k-1 \\ k \end{matrix} \right]_p p^{-sk} \\
 &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{r_m} \left[\begin{matrix} n+k_i-1 \\ k_i \end{matrix} \right]_{p_i}}{m^s},
 \end{aligned}$$

where $m = p_1^{k_1} \cdots p_{r_m}^{k_{r_m}}$, we obtain the first formula in (1.4), and applying (2.3), we obtain the second formula in (1.4).

3. CONCLUDING REMARKS

There exist two approaches to the problem of counting the number of sublattices of a fixed index in the literature. The most detailed discussion is provided by Baake (1997). Alternatively, one can derive (1.1) from Cassels (1971), and then prove (1.2) by using arguments similar to that of Baake (1997). The connection between the number of sublattices of fixed indices and the Gaussian binomials is provided by the two product formulas in Gruber (1997).

REFERENCES

- [1] Baake, M. (1997), Solution of the coincidence problem in dimensions $d \leq 4$, in: *The Mathematics of Long-Range Aperiodic Order*, Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, edited by R. V. Moody, 9-44.
- [2] Cassels, J. W. S. (1971), *An Introduction to the Geometry of Numbers* (2nd printing, corrected), Berlin: Springer
- [3] Gruber, B (1997), Alternative formulae for the number of sublattices, *Acta Cryst.* **A53**, 807-808.
- [4] Jantzen, J. C. (1995), *Lectures on Quantum Groups*, American Mathematical Society.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN, MILWAUKEE, WI 53201, USA

E-mail address: ymzou@uwm.edu